ARTICLE IN PRESS

DENTAL MATERIALS XXX (2008) XXX-XXX



Y-TZP ceramic processing from coprecipitated powders: A comparative study with three commercial dental ceramics

Dolores R.R. Lazar^a, Marco C. Bottino^{a,b,*}, Mutlu Özcan^c, Luiz Felipe Valandro^d, Regina Amaral^e, Valter Ussui^a, Ana H.A. Bressiani^a

^a Materials Science and Technology Center, Nuclear and Energy Research Institute, IPEN-CNEN/SP, São Paulo, Brazil

^b Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Business & Engineering Complex 254,

5 1530 3rd Avenue South, Birmingham, Alabama 35294-4461, USA

6 ^c University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Academic Center for Oral Health, Clinical Dental Biomaterials,

- 7 Groningen, The Netherlands
- ⁸ ^d Section of Prosthodontics, Department of Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Federal University of Santa Maria,
- 9 Santa Maria, Brazil
- ¹⁰ ^e Department of Dental Materials and Prosthodontics, São José dos Campos Dental School, São Paulo State University,
- 11 São José dos Campos, Brazil

13 ARTICLE INFO

- 15 Article history:
- 16 Received 18 November 2006
- 17 Received in revised form
- 18 24 March 2008
- 19 Accepted 12 April 2008
- 20

12

14

- 21 Keywords:
- 22 Alumina
- 23 Dental ceramics
- 24 Fracture toughness
- 25 Zirconia

ABSTRACT

(1) To synthesize 3 mol% yttria-stabilized zirconia (3Y-TZP) powders via coprecipitation route, (2) to obtain zirconia ceramic specimens, analyze surface characteristics, and mechanical properties, and (3) to compare the processed material with three reinforced dental ceramics.

Methods. A coprecipitation route was used to synthesize a 3 mol% yttria-stabilized zirconia ceramic processed by uniaxial compaction and pressureless sintering. Commercially available alumina or alumina/zirconia ceramics, namely Procera AllCeram (PA), In-Ceram Zirconia Block (CAZ) and In-Ceram Zirconia (IZ) were chosen for comparison. All specimens (6 mm × 5 mm × 5 mm) were polished and ultrasonically cleaned. Qualitative phase analysis was performed by XRD and apparent densities were measured on the basis of Archimedes principle. Ceramics were also characterized using SEM, TEM and EDS. The hardness measurements were made employing Vickers hardness test. Fracture toughness (K_{IC}) was calculated. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Results. ANOVA revealed that the Vickers hardness (p < 0.0001) and fracture toughness (p < 0.0001) were affected by the ceramic materials composition. It was confirmed that the PA ceramic was constituted of a rhombohedral alumina matrix, so-called α -alumina. Both CAZ and IZ ceramics presented tetragonal zirconia and α -alumina mixture of phases. The SEM/EDS analysis confirmed the presence of aluminum in PA ceramic. In the IZ and CAZ ceramics aluminum, zirconium and cerium in grains involved by a second phase containing aluminum, silicon and lanthanum were identified. PA showed significantly higher mean Vickers hardness values (H_V) (18.4 ± 0.5 GPa) compared to vitreous CAZ (10.3 ± 0.2 GPa) and IZ (10.6 ± 0.4 GPa) ceramics. Experimental Y-TZP showed significantly lower results than that

0109-5641/\$ – see front matter © 2008 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Academy of Dental Materials. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2008.04.002

^{*} Corresponding author at: University of Alabama at Birmingham, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Business & Engineering Complex 254, 1530 3rd Avenue South, Birmingham, Alabama 35294-4461, USA. Tel.: +1 205 934 6990; fax: +1 205 934 8485. E-mail address: bottino@uab.edu (M.C. Bottino).

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

DENTAL MATERIALS XXX (2008) XXX-XXX

of the other monophased ceramic (PA) (p < 0.05) but it showed significantly higher fracture toughness (6.0 \pm 0.2 MPa m^{1/2}) values when compared to the other tested ceramics (p < 0.05). The coprecipitation method used to synthesize zirconia powders and the adopted ceramic processing conditions led to ceramics with mechanical properties comparable to commercially available reinforced ceramic materials.

© 2008 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Academy of Dental Materials. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recent improvements in mechanical properties of structural alumina and/or zirconia ceramics have enlarged their application in the dental field. In addition, ceramic restorations present superior aesthetics and biocompatibility compared to metallic frameworks for fixed-partial-dentures (FPD) that do not allow light transmission, reducing the ability of mimicking the natural appearance of the tooth structures [1-3].

Alumina and zirconia ceramics have better mechanical properties compared to feldspath ceramics due to their increased crystalline content, chemical composition, and microstructure [1-3]. In this context, all ceramic systems, where a high toughness ceramic core is veneered with a traditional feldspath ceramic, have been developed in order to achieve improved aesthetic appearance without compromising the mechanical properties [3].

Pure zirconia has three polymorphic forms at atmospheric 42 pressure: monoclinic from room temperature until 1170°C, 43 tetragonal (1170–2370 °C), and cubic (2370–2680 °C). The tetrag-44 onal \rightarrow monoclinic phase transformation is associated with 45 46 volume expansion of approximately 3-4%, originating cracks during cooling from sintering temperature. To inhibit these 47 phase transformations, the addition of stabilizing oxides such 48 49 as CaO, MgO, CeO_{2} and Y_2O_3 is recommended and three 50 classes of zirconia materials can be obtained: Cubic stabilized zirconia (CSZ), Partially stabilized zirconia (PSZ), which 51 is a mixture of cubic and tetragonal/monoclinic phases, and 52 Tetragonal zirconia polycrystals (TZP) [4,5]. 53

TZP materials are extensively used for biomedical appli-54 cations since they possess improved fracture toughness and 55 mechanical strength, especially when 3 mol% yttria is used 56 as dopant. Such behavior is related to the greater extent of 57 yttria solubility in tetragonal zirconia solid solution, when 58 compared to others dopants [1,3,4]. At this condition, 3 mol% 59 yttria-stabilized zirconia (3Y-TZP) exhibits a very important 60 feature, related to the polymorphic transformation for mon-61 oclinic phase when a mechanical stress is applied. This 62 phenomenon, known as transformation toughening, can pre-63 vent crack growth resulting in a material with high toughness 64 and mechanical strength, but having lower hardness than 65 alumina [4,5]. On the other hand, ceramic bodies made of 66 pure alumina exhibit high hardness but lower toughness 67 [6]. Since zirconia and alumina have low mutual solubility 68 (<1 wt%), even at high temperatures [5], it is possible to tailor a 69 ceramic composite, comprising the mechanical properties of 70 both [6,7]. The mechanisms involved include the inhibition 71 of grain growth during sintering and formation of microc-72 racks [7]. However, it should be noted that the mechanical 73 properties of 3Y-TZP depend on the grain size [8,9]. Above a critical grain size, the 3Y-TZP ceramic is more susceptible to a spontaneous tetragonal \rightarrow monoclinic transformation, whereas a smaller grain size (<1 µm) is associated with a lower transformation rate [10]. Moreover, in this case the tetragonal \rightarrow monoclinic phase transformation is increased when stress is applied [5]. The maximum benefits of transformation toughening mechanism are attained by the control of ceramic grain size and matrix homogeneity [8-10], which are related to powder synthesis and the ceramic processing conditions. The consolidation of loosely agglomerated powders is expected to result in better sintering properties at lower temperatures, avoiding the use of sintering aids [11].

Among the many ceramic processing techniques used to improve physical and chemical properties of zirconia-based materials, the synthesis of nanocrystalline powders has been considered extremely important. As an alternative to the traditional mechanical mixing procedure, chemical methods can be used to synthesize different doped zirconia ceramic powders with higher reactivity, allowing better sintering without the application of pressure [11]. Some examples of these powder preparation techniques are: sol-gel synthesis [12], polymeric precursor route [13], combustion synthesis [14], hydrothermal treatment [15] and coprecipitation from metal aqueous solutions [16-18]. From a commercial standpoint, however, coprecipitation is the most common route adopted due to the great chemical and physical homogeneity of the products, cost effectiveness and simplicity [16-18]. The coprecipitation route consists of preparing an aqueous solution with the metal ions (i.e., YCl₃, and ZrOCl₂), with the desirable composition and slowly mixing them with aqueous base (i.e., NH₄OH), causing precipitation. The use of organic liquids to dehydrate the precipitate and wet milling to control the state of powder agglomeration have to be employed [18].

In this work, 3Y-TZP powders were synthesized via coprecipitation and then ceramic specimens were obtained by pressureless sintering with suitable properties to be used in conjunction with CAD-CAM systems in the manufacturing of dental restoration frameworks. In order to validate its possible application as a ceramic core, two mechanical properties, namely, Vickers hardness (H_V) and fracture toughness (K_{IC}) were measured and compared to three commercially available reinforced dental ceramic materials, which were based on pure alumina, and zirconia toughened alumina infiltrated by a glassy phase. Furthermore, apparent densities were measured and ceramic characteristics were analyzed using SEM, TEM, XRD and EDS. The hypothesis to be tested was that the processing route used to obtain the 3Y-TZP powders and consequently the 3Y-TZP ceramic produced might result in a material with superior mechanical properties when compared to the commercially available ceramics.

75

76

77

79

80

82

83

85

88

91

92

94

95

96

97

98

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

<u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

DENTAL MATERIALS XXX (2008) XXX-XXX

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Production of 3Y-TZP experimental ceramic

A coprecipitation route was used to synthesize 3 mol% 127 yttria-stabilized zirconia powders [18]. Zirconium oxychlo-128 ride purified by the basic zirconium sulphate precipitation 129 process (99.8 wt% ZrO2 + HfO2-IPEN, São Paulo, Brazil) and 130 yttrium chloride (hydrochloric acid dissolution of 99.99% 131 Y₂O₃—Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI, USA) were used 132 as starting materials. Balanced quantities of these reagents 133 134 were mixed and diluted in water to achieve a solution containing 35 g/L of 3 mol% of yttria-stabilized zirconia. Copre-135 cipitation was performed by spraying the mixed chlorides 136 into a 3 molar ammonium hydroxide solution at a rate 137 of approximately 50 mL/min. The reaction was carried out 138 under vigorous stirring, maintaining the pH of the solution 139 140 at 10. The gel suspension was filtered and washed with water until no residual Cl- was present as indicated by a 141 chemical reagent (AgNO₃). The precipitate was washed with 142 ethanol and treated by azeotropic distillation with butanol in 143 order to hinder hard agglomerate formation. In this case, the 144 azeotrope liquid containing 44.5 wt% water and 55.5 wt% n-145 butanol was first evaporated at 93 °C. Once the temperature 146 of 117°C was reached (boiling point of n-butanol), all water 147 was removed from the gel. The distilled gel was oven-dried at 148 80 °C for 24 h. Calcination was carried out at 800 °C for 1 h. The 149 ceramic aggregate was ball milled and the resulting powder 150 was pressed by uniaxial compaction at 100 MPa in a metal-151 lic matrix ($60 \text{ mm} \times 12 \text{ mm}$). The green pressed specimens 152 were sintered in air at 1500 °C for 1 h using an electrical box 153 furnace (Lindberg/Blue M, Asheville, NC, USA) [18]. Ceramic 154 155 specimens $(6 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm})$ were cut with a diamond wafering blade mounted on a precision saw machine (Isomet 156 2000, Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA), and polished with 15, 157 6 and 1 µm ceramographic cloth and diamond suspensions 158 (Mecapol P320, Presi, Grenoble, France). The specimens were 159 cleaned in an ultrasonic bath (Vitasonic II, Vita-Zahnfabrik, 160 Bad Säckingen, Germany) in acetone for 10 min. 161

The as-sintered ceramic densities were determined by an immersion method based on the Archimedes principle. In order to reveal the post-sintering microstructure morphology, fractured and polished specimen surfaces were analyzed under a scanning electron microscope (SEM, XL30, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-200, JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used

for microstructural phase evaluation. Polished and TEM specimens were subjected to thermal etchings at 1450°C for 30 min in order to reverse the tetragonal \rightarrow monoclinic transformation produced during ceramographic procedures. X-ray diffraction patterns of the polished surfaces were registered (DMAX 2000, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Data were collected in the 2 θ range from 5 to 80°, with 0.02° step size and counting time 12 s. Quantitative phase analysis and determination of theoretical density were calculated using Rietveld refinement with DBWS9807 software (CCP14). This method is based on mathematic fitness of X-ray pattern upon a model that includes known crystallographic parameters such as unit cell dimensions and atomic positions, as well as pattern line shape, background and phase composition. These parameters are adjusted via least-squares refinement. In this work peak shapes were modeled using a Pseudo-Voigt distribution.

2.2. Processing of commercially available ceramics

Alumina or alumina/zirconia ceramics, namely Procera All-Ceram (PA), In-Ceram Zirconia Block (CAZ), and In-Ceram Zirconia (IZ) indicated for fabrication of FPD frameworks were processed either by dry pressing or slip casting according to each manufacturer's instructions. Densely sintered alumina ceramic blocks (PA) were obtained from the manufacturer. A detailed description of these materials is listed in Table 1.

All the commercially available ceramics were also cut as blocks (6 mm × 5 mm × 5 mm) with a diamond wafering blade mounted on a precision saw machine and polished with 15, 6 and 1 μ m ceramographic cloth and diamond suspensions. The specimens were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath in acetone for 10 min. The polished commercial sintered ceramics were characterized by SEM and XRD (Cu K α , 2 θ 20–80°) in order to identify the crystalline phases. X-ray energy spectroscopy (EDS) (EDAX[®], Japan) was used for qualitative chemical analysis.

2.3. Vickers hardness test

Hardness was determined by the Vickers hardness test (VMT-7, Büehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). In a preliminary investigation, loads in the range of 10–100 N were studied. The application of 60 and 30 N for 30 s was found to create cracks with a suitable shape under indentation, for Y-TZP and commercially available ceramics, respectively. In these conditions, impressions were performed at 10 regions in each one of the specimens. Vickers hardness values were calculated using Eq. (1) where

Table 1 – The codes, commercial names, ceramic forming process, ceramic matrix, and manufacturers of the ceramics used for the experiments

| Code | Commercial name | Process | Ceramic matrix | Manufacturer |
|-------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|
| PA | Procera AllCeram | Dry pressing | Alumina | Nobel Biocare, Uppsala, Sweden |
| CAZ | In-Ceram Zirconia Block | Dry pressing | Alumina/Zirconiaª | Vita-Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany |
| IZ | In-Ceram Zirconia | Slip casting | Alumina/zirconiaª | Vita-Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany |
| Y-TZP | Experimental | Dry pressing | Zirconia | Materials Science and Technology Center, |
| | | | | IPEN-CNEN/SP, São Paulo, Brazil |

^a Infiltrated by a lanthanum–aluminum borosilicate glassy phase.

Please cite this article in press as: Lazar DRR, et al., Y-TZP ceramic processing from coprecipitated powders: A comparative study with three commercial dental ceramics, Dent Mater (2008), doi:10.1016/j.dental.2008.04.002

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

208

209

210

4

ARTICLE IN PRESS

²¹² "P" was the applied load (N) and "d" was the average of the ²¹³ diagonal length (m) and α the angle between the opposite faces ²¹⁴ of the indenter (136°) [19]

$$H_{\rm V} = \frac{\alpha P}{d^2} \tag{1}$$

216 2.4. Fracture toughness test

Images and dimensions of the indentations and cracks were 217 acquired using an optical microscope (PMG3, Olympus, Tokyo, 218 Japan). The crack type was identified by investigating the 219 indented surface that was polished with a $1\,\mu\text{m}$ diamond sus-220 pension. It is important to point out that determination of the 221 crack's type and measurement of the exact crack length is an 222 important concern in obtaining the fracture toughness values 223 [19]. 224

Fracture toughness data can be obtained by using a great variety of equations. Ponton and Rawlings [20] normalized several models related to the *Palmqvist* and *radial-median* crack formation that are represented in Fig. 1A. The *Palmqvist* type cracks are generally obtained for materials that present high fracture toughness (i.e., TZP ceramics) especially when the applied force is relatively low. Values of the c/a ratio smaller than 3 (c/a < 3) also supports the *Palmqvist* type crack formation, where "c" is the summation of the crack length (l) and the semi-diagonal length of the impression (a).

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

228

240

241

In this study, two equations, normalized by Ponton and Rawlings [20] were used to compute the fracture toughness values: Eq. (2), proper for *Palmquist* cracks, proposed by Shetty, Wright, Mincer, and Clauer [20] and Eq. (3), for *radial-median* cracks, proposed by Evans [20]. The cracks propagated in the Y-TZP ceramic were classified as *Palmquist*, however, on the commercial ceramics they were *radial-median* (Fig. 1A–C)

$$K_{\rm IC} = 0.0319 \frac{P}{al^{1/2}} \tag{2}$$

$$K_{\rm IC} = 0.0824 \frac{P}{c^{3/2}} \tag{3}$$

where K_{IC} is the fracture toughness (MPa m^{1/2}), H_v is the 244 Vickers hardness (GPa), P is the applied force (N), a is the semidiagonal impression length (m), l is the crack length (m), and c = a + l (m)



Fig. 1 – (A) Crack formation by Vickers indentation [20], (B) representative optical micrographs of the Vickers indentation impression after polishing with diamond paste from Y-TZP, and (C) IZ.

<u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

267

268

269

270

271

272

274

275

276

277

278

247 2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using statistical software 248 for Windows (StatSoft Inc., version 5.5, 2000, Tulsa, OK) and 249 statistix for Windows (Analytical Software Inc., version 8.0, 250 2003, Tallahassee, FL, USA). The means of each group from 251 Vickers hardness and fracture toughness measurements were 252 analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with the 253 test type as the dependent variable and the ceramic types as 25/ the independent factors. p values less than 0.05 were con-255 sidered to be statistically significant in all tests. Multiple 256 comparisons were made by Tukey's test. 257

3. Results

258 3.1. Ceramic characterization

The results of the phase fraction (Rietveld refinement) of X-ray
diffraction patterns, theoretical and apparent density, Vickers
hardness, and fracture toughness of as-sintered blocks of the
experimental zirconia are shown in Table 2. The XRD pattern
followed by Rietveld refinement showed a 96 wt% tetragonal
phase content and 4 wt% of monoclinic phase.

265 SEM evaluation of fractured surfaces of the experimental 266 zirconia revealed that fracture was located at the inter-

| Table 2 – Phase fraction (Rietveld refinement), theoretical density, apparent density, Vickers hardness, and fracture toughness of the experimental zirconia tested | | | | |
|---|----|--|--|--|
| Tetragonal phase content (wt%) | 96 | | | |
| Monoclinic phase content (wt%) | 4 | | | |

| $ ho_{theoretical} (g cm^{-3})$ $ ho_{apparent} (g cm^{-3})$ $ ho_{relative} (\%)$ | $\begin{array}{c} 6.07 \\ 5.92 \pm 0.03 \\ 97.5 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$ |
|--|--|
| Hv (GPa) K _{IC} (MPa m ^{1/2}) | $\begin{array}{c} 13.5\pm0.3\\ 6.0\pm0.2\end{array}$ |

granular regions (Fig. 2A). The grain size assessment was carried out by a computer software as suggested elsewhere [21]. 3 mol% yttria-stabilization allowed the processing of microstructures with uniform grain size smaller than $0.5 \,\mu$ m (Fig. 2B). Polished and thermally etched Y-TZP surfaces showed low fraction of monoclinic phase (Fig. 2B). Twinning formation was clearly observed in the larger grains [22].

The TEM micrographs (Fig. 2C and D) further confirmed the presence of a fine microstructure with little amounts of monoclinic phase, which was characterized by twinning formation due to martensitic transformation after the thermal treatment step. Amorphous phase content was not observed



Fig. 2 – (A) SEM image of the fracture surface of 3 mol% Y-TZP ceramic sintered at 1500 °C for 1 h, (B) SEM image of the polished and thermal etched surface of the Y-TZP. Note the low fraction of monoclinic phase clearly observed in the larger grains where the twinning formation occurs (white arrows), (C) TEM surface micrograph of 3 mol% Y-TZP ceramic sintered at 1500 °C for 1 h, showing tetragonal grains, and (D) TEM surface micrograph of 3 mol% Y-TZP ceramic sintered at 1500 °C for 1 h, showing twin formation in monoclinic grains.

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

ARTICLE IN PRESS

DENTAL MATERIALS XXX (2008) XXX-XXX

(0,-2,-2) (1,-1,-2) (2,0,-2) (-1,-2,-1) (0,-1,-1) (1,0,-1) (2,1,-1) •
(0,0,0) (-2 -1 1) (-1.0.1) (0.1.1)(-1,1,2)(022)B = [-1, 1, -1]

Fig. 3 – Selected area diffraction (SAD) pattern of a tetragonal grain of 3 mol% Y-TZP ceramic (B = [-11-1]).

at the grain boundaries and triple points in the experimental zirconia specimens. The selected area diffraction (SAD) pattern identified by DIFPAT software (Ottawa, Canada) presented in Fig. 3 corresponds to the tetragonal zirconia phase.

Fig. 4 presents the XRD patterns for the tested dental ceramics. It was confirmed that the PA ceramic was constituted of a rhombohedral alumina matrix, so-called α -alumina (JCPDS 10-173). On the other hand, both CAZ and IZ ceramics presented, as crystalline phases, a mixture of tetragonal zirconia (JCPDS 17-923) and α -alumina.

SEM micrographs of the resultant cracks after the Vickers hardness indentation test from the experimental Y-TZP (Fig. 5) and the other ceramics with the identified phases and elemental constituents are indicated on their respective EDS spectra (Figs. 6–8A–D). The SEM/EDS analysis showed that PA ceramic contains aluminum and oxygen as chemical constituents. Three regions of IZ and CAZ ceramics were analyzed. The first one is formed by aluminum, silicon, zirconium, cerium, and oxygen, indicating the presence of alumina/zirconia compos-



Fig. 4 - XRD patterns of the ceramics tested. Refer to Table 1 for abbreviations.



Fig. 5 – Representative SEM micrograph of the experimental Y-TZP ceramic after Vickers impression. Note the crack propagation.

ite. The second region contains the elements of the vitreous phase (oxygen, sodium, aluminum, zirconium, calcium, lanthanum, and cerium) and the third region corresponds to alumina phase.

3.2. Vickers hardness test and fracture toughness

ANOVA revealed that the Vickers hardness (p < 0.0001) and fracture toughness (p < 0.0001) were affected by the ceramic composition (Table 3). Materials were classified into three groups in which ceramics of the same group (indicated by superscript letter) do not show statistical difference. Concerned to hardness, high alumina content ceramic (PA) showed significantly higher mean Vickers hardness values (18.4 ± 0.5), compared to experimental Y-TZP (13.5 ± 0.2) (p < 0.05). Lowest hardness values were obtained for glass infiltrated alumina–zirconia composites, but no statistical difference between CAZ (10.3 ± 0.2) and IZ (10.6 ± 0.4) ceramics was observed. As pointed out earlier, CAZ and IZ samples have the same chemical composition and similar microstructure,

315

298

317

318

ARTICLE IN PRESS

DENTAL MATERIALS XXX (2008) XXX-XXX



Fig. 6 – (A) SEM micrograph of the PA ceramic microstructure after Vickers impression, and (B) EDS analyses showing the high aluminum content.

| Q2 | Table 3 – Mean Vickers hardness (H_{ν}) and fracture toughness (K_{IC}) for the different ceramic materials tested | | | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Code | Hv (GPa) | K_{IC} (MPa m ^{1/2}) | | | |
| | PA | 18.4 ± 0.5^{a} | $4.2\pm0.7^{\text{a}}$ | | | |
| | IZ | $10.6\pm0.4^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 5.5 ± 0.5^{b} | | | |
| | CAZ | $10.3\pm0.2^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 5.2 ± 0.4^{b} | | | |
| | Y-TZP | 13.5 ± 0.2^{c} | 6.0 ± 0.2^{c} | | | |
| | See Table 1 for the abbreviations. | | | | | |

indicated by SEM micrographs (Figs. 7A and 8B). Related to

fracture toughness values, materials were also divided into

three groups, in the following increasing order: experimental

Y-TZP (6.0 ± 0.2), IZ (5.5 ± 0.5), CAZ (5.2 ± 0.4), and PA (4.2 ± 0.7). The higher toughness value for Y-TZP ceramic is a consequence of transformation toughening mechanism. On the other hand, the lowest fracture toughness of alumina ceramics can be improved by the addition of zirconia to the matrix, even in the presence of a vitreous glassy phase. Figs. 7A and 8B show that the presence of alumina and zirconia grains promotes the deflection of crack trajectories along the glassy matrix.

4. Discussion

This study investigated the efficacy of an experimental Y-TZP 327 ceramic obtained by a coprecipitation method and pressure-328



Fig. 7 – (A) SEM micrograph of the CAZ ceramic microstructure after Vickers impression, (B–D) EDS analyses showing an alumina–zirconia-based matrix.

Please cite this article in press as: Lazar DRR, et al., Y-TZP ceramic processing from coprecipitated powders: A comparative study with three commercial dental ceramics, Dent Mater (2008), doi:10.1016/j.dental.2008.04.002

7

329

330

356

357

ARTICLE IN PRESS

DENTAL MATERIALS XXX (2008) XXX-XXX



Fig. 8 – (A) SEM micrograph of the IZ ceramic microstructure after Vickers impression, (B–D) EDS analyses showing an alumina-zirconia-based matrix.

less sintering as dental material in lieu of other commercially available ceramics.

Y-TZP ceramics are generally characterized by a fine-331 grained microstructure with a mean grain size lower than 332 333 $0.5 \,\mu m$ [8]. The typical specimens processed throughout this study had uniform microstructure constituted by tetragonal 334 grains with a mean grain size of 0.3 µm. The presence of 335 336 coarser grains in the microstructure of Y-TZP ceramics is an indicator of a monoclinic phase [22], herein confirmed by the 337 Rietveld refinement of XRD patterns. The presence of large 338 grains with evidence of twinning shows that these grains 339 are likely to be transformed during specimen cooling. Such 340 a phase transformation during cooling could be attributed to 341 localized strain at large grains, opposed to the surrounding 342 smaller grains, likely due to thermal contraction of the TZP 343 ceramic [5]. The tetragonal \rightarrow monoclinic transformation may 344 also occur as a consequence of polishing procedures or TEM 345 assessment due to the effect of electron beam [23]. The small 346 grain size observed for the specimens processed in the present 347 study is desirable since it has been previously shown that 348 the resistance to tetragonal \rightarrow monoclinic transformation is 349 increased by the decrease in grain size, especially in the case 350 where density approaches theoretical values $(d=6.1 \text{ g/cm}^3)$ 351 and yttrium oxide (Y₂O₃) content is close to 3 mol% [24]. In this 352 353 work, the experimental Y-TZP also achieved apparent density around 97.5% of the theoretical value, indicating the reduction 354 of flaws due to the presence of pores in the microstructure. 355

Burger et al. [25] have also reported that tetragonal phase of coprecipitated powders is already formed below

the martensitic phase transformation temperature of pure zirconia (1175 °C). Additionally, these authors verified that the stabilization of tetragonal phase of ceramics obtained from yttria-coated zirconia powders is achieved only during sintering, due to the higher rate of diffusion of yttria into zirconia. This behavior results in a heterogeneous distribution of yttria within zirconia grains and, consequently, in a greater concentration of monoclinic phase. Considering that the transformation toughening mechanism is a function of the concentration of monoclinic phase, the lower fracture toughness values of Y-TZP ceramics processed from coprecipitated powders, compared to yttria-coated zirconia, can be explained.

The hardness and fracture toughness results of the experimental Y-TZP ceramic material evaluated in this study $(6.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ MPa} \text{ m}^{1/2})$ are in agreement with those reported in the literature for 3Y-TZP ceramics [3,26]. Some authors [25,27,28] have showed that this value can be increased to 8–9 MPa m^{1/2} modifying yttria concentration or increasing grain size by changing the conditions of powder's synthesis and sintering. However, it has to be pointed out that in these cases tetragonal \rightarrow monoclinic transformation may easily occur, leading to the formation of surface flaws that could be deleterious to the in vivo performance of zirconia ceramics.

The 3Y-TZP specimens processed in this study presented 96 wt% of tetragonal and 4 wt% monoclinic phases. These microstructure characteristics may not be favorable for acid etching, since acidic solutions preferentially degrade vitreous phases but not the crystalline grains [29–33]. Adhesion

358

ARTICLE IN PRESS

DENTAL MATERIALS XXX (2008) XXX-XXX

of resin-based cements to Y-TZP has been reported to be 387 inferior to glassy matrix ceramics. The absence of a vitreous 388 phase, which makes adhesion of resin-based cements onto 389 such ceramics possible, might be a limitation of this material 390 for its clinical use since in vivo predictability could not be guar-391 anteed for minimal invasive FPD applications. In vitro bond 392 strength tests are undertaken at our laboratories in order to 393 evaluate this important issue. 394

The crack propagation through the PA alumina ceramic 395 microstructure showed a more linear behavior when com-396 pared to commercial ceramics. Significantly lower fracture 397 toughness values were obtained for the pure alumina ceramic 398 when compared to those of the others. It can be therefore 399 stated that the increased fracture toughness is related to 400 the tetragonal phase of zirconia as it was evident for the 401 CAZ, IZ, and Y-TZP ceramics. Therefore, it can also be argued 402 whether Vickers hardness values should be taken into consid-403 eration when the mechanical aspects of the ceramic materials 404 are compared with each other. Nevertheless, higher fracture 405 toughness obtained with the experimental Y-TZP could indi-406 cate that this ceramic is a promising material with potential 407 features to be used in dental applications in conjunction with 408 CAD-CAM systems where machining techniques are required. 409

5. Conclusions

Y-TZP powders synthesized by coprecipitation route have 410 proved to be adequate for processing of biomedical/dental 411 ceramics with mechanical properties comparable to com-412 mercially available reinforced ceramic materials. The lower 413 fracture toughness (6.0 ± 0.2 MPa m^{1/2}), compared to some Y-414 TZP ceramics cited in the literature $(8-9 \text{ MPa m}^{1/2})$, seems to be 415 beneficial for in vivo performance. In this case, the high frac-416 tion of tetragonal phase retained at room temperature inhibits 417 an excessive transformation to monoclinic phase due to the 418 small grain size (0.3 µm), preventing the formation of cracks 419 on the ceramic surface. A homogeneous microstructure with 420 high density (98% of theoretical density) was obtained by uni-421 axial compaction and sintering at 1500 °C for 1 h. In order to 422 guarantee the clinical applicability of the developed material, 423 adhesion studies of Y-TZP ceramics to resin-based cements 424 are in progress. 425

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank The State of São Paulo
Research Foundation (FAPESP) for the financial support.
Thanks also to LFS/EPUSP for hardness measurements help
and to our colleagues from CCTM/IPEN for XRD, SEM and TEM
characterizations.

REFERENCES

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

- [1] Tinschert J, Zwez D, Marx R, Anusavice KJ. Structural reliability of alumina-, feldspar-, leucite-, mica-, and zirconia-based ceramics. J Dent 2000;28:529–35.
 - [2] Guazzato M, Albakry M, Ringer SP, Swain MV. Strength, fracture toughness and microstructure of a selection of

all-ceramic materials. Part I. Pressable and alumina glass-infiltrated ceramics. Dent Mater 2004;20:441–8.

- [3] Guazzato M, Albakry M, Ringer SP, Swain MV. Strength, fracture toughness and microstructure of a selection of all-ceramic materials. Part II. Zirconia-based dental ceramics. Dent Mater 2004;20:449–56.
- [4] Piconi C, Maccauro G. Zirconia as ceramic biomaterial. Biomaterials 1999;20:1–25.
- [5] Green DJ, Hannink RHJ, Swain MV. Transformation toughening of ceramics. Boca Raton, Fl: CRC; 1989.
- [6] Szutkowska M. Fracture resistance behavior of alumina–zirconia composites. J Mater Process Technol 2004;153–154:868–74.
- [7] Basu B, Vleugels J, Van der Biest O. ZrO₂-Al₂O₃ composites with tailored toughness. J Alloy Compd 2004;372:278–84.
- [8] Lange FF. Transformation-toughened ZrO₂: correlations between grain size control and composition in the system ZrO₂-Y₂O₃. J Am Ceram Soc 1986;69:240–2.
- [9] Ruiz L, Readley MJ. Effect of heat-treatment on grain size phase assemblage, and mechanical properties of 3 mol% Y-TZP. J Am Ceram Soc 1996;79:2331–40.
- [10] Heuer AH, Claussen N, Kriven WM, Ruhle M. Stability of tetragonal ZrO₂ particles in ceramic matrices. J Am Ceram Soc 1982;65:642–50.
- [11] Lee WE, Rainforth WM. Ceramic microstructures—property control by processing. London: Chapman & Hall; 1994
- [12] Livage J, Beteille F, Rouse C, Chatry M, Davidson P. Sol-gel synthesis of oxide materials. Acta Mater 1998;46: 743–50.
- [13] Quinelato AL, Longo E, Perazolli LA, Varela JA. Effect of ceria content on the sintering of ZrO₂ based ceramics synthesized from a polymeric precursor. J Eur Ceram Soc 2000:20:1077–84.
- [14] Juarez RE, Lamas DG, Lascalea GE, Walsöe de Reca NE. Synthesis of nanocrystalline zirconia powders for TZP ceramics by a nitrate-citrate combustion route. J Eur Ceram Soc 2000;20:133–8.
- [15] Dell'Agli G, Mascolo G. Hydrothermal synthesis of ZrO₂-Y₂O₃ solid solution at low temperature. J Eur Ceram Soc 2000;20:139–45.
- [16] Duran P, Villegas M, Capel F, Recio P, Moure C. Low temperature sintering and microstructural development of nanocrystalline Y-TZP powders. J Eur Ceram Soc 1996;16:945–52.
- [17] Segal D. Soft chemistry routes to zirconia ceramics. Key Eng Mater 1998;153–154:241–50.
- [18] Lazar DRR, Menezes CAB, Ussui V, Bressiani AHA, Paschoal JOA. The influence of sulphur on the processing of zirconia based ceramics. J Eur Ceram Soc 2002;22:2813–20.
- [19] Iost A, Bigot R. Indentation size effect: reality or artifact? J Mater Sci 1996;31:3573–7.
- [20] Ponton CB, Rawlings RD. Vickers indentation fracture toughness test. Part 1—review of literature and formulation of standardized indentation toughness equations. Mater Sci Technol 1989;5:865–72.
- [21] Pinto LCM, Vasconcelos V, Vasconcelos WL, Bressiani JC. An algorithm of digital image processing applied to quantification of grains with discontinuous boundaries. Acta Microscopica 1996;5:168–9.
- [22] Rühle M, Claussen N, Heuer AH. Microstructural studies of Y₂O₃-containing tetragonal polycrystals (Y-TZP). In: Claussen N, Rühle M, Heuer AH, editors. Advances in ceramics. Science and Technology of zirconia II, vol. 12. Columbus, Oh: The American Ceramic Society; 1984. p. 532–370.
- [23] Wens S, MA L, Guo J, Yen T. Transmission electron microscopic observation of martensitic transformation in tetragonal ZrO₂. J Am Ceram Soc 1986;69:570–2.

9

DENTAL 1323 1–10

10

507

508

509

511

512

DENTAL MATERIALS XXX (2008) XXX-XXX

- [24] Singh R, Gill C, Lawson S, Dransfield GP. Sintering, microstructure and mechanical properties of commercial Y-TZPs. J Mater Sci 1996;31:6055-62.
- 510 [25] Burger W, Richter HG, Piconi C, Vatteroni R, Cittadini A, Boccalari M. New Y-TZP powders for medical grade zirconia. J Mater Sci: Mater Med 1997;8:113-8.
- [26] Yilmaz H, Aydin C, Gul BE. Flexural strength and fracture 513 toughness of dental core ceramics. J Prosthet Dent 514 2007;98:120-8. 515
- [27] Piwowarczyk A, Ottl P, Lauer H, Kuretzky T. A clinical report 516 and overview of scientific studies and clinical procedures 517 conducted on 3M ESPE Lava[™] all-ceramic system. J 518 519 Prosthodont 2005;14:39-45.
- 520 [28] Daguano JKMF, Santos C, Souza RC, Balestra RM, Strecker K, Elias CN. Properties of ZrO2-Al2O3 composite as a function 521

of isothermal holding time. Int J Refract Met Hard Mater 2007;25:374-9.

- [29] Kern M, Wegner SM. Bonding to zirconia ceramic: adhesion methods and their durability. Dent Mater 1998;14: 64-71.
- [30] Wegner SM, Gerdes W, Kern M. Effect of different artificial aging conditions on ceramic-composite bond strength. Int J Prosthodont 2002;15:267-72.
- [32] Özcan M, Vallittu PK. Effect of surface conditioning methods on the bond strength of luting cement to ceramics. Dent Mater 2003;19:725-31.
- Edelhoff D, Özcan M. To what extend does the longevity of [33] fixed dental prostheses depend on the function of cement? Clin Oral Implants Res 2007;18:193–204.

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534